

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/898,653	PERANI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chante Harrison	2675	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Non final rejection

(1) Chante Harrison.

(3) _____

(2) Brian Gustafson.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 9 June 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Wilensky US 6,628,295

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner identified Wilensky as a teaching the features of claim 1. Representative suggesting amending the claim (and independent claim 27) to distinguish the pending claim from the patent by further defining the claimed control point.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)